



## Impact on maritime security of the conflict between Israel and Iran

Recent attacks between Israel and Iran have significantly heightened tensions in the area, raising concerns for the safety of merchant vessels in and around the Arabian/Persian Gulf and Israeli waters.

Published 18 June 2025

*The information provided in this article is intended for general information only. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information at the time of publication, no warranty or representation is made regarding its completeness or timeliness. The content in this article does not constitute professional advice, and any reliance on such information is strictly at your own risk. Gard AS, including its affiliated companies, agents and employees, shall not be held liable for any loss, expense, or damage of any kind whatsoever arising from reliance on the information provided, irrespective of whether it is sourced from Gard AS, its shareholders, correspondents, or other contributors.*

The situation is evolving rapidly, and there is a risk of spillover effects impacting shipping. With permission from [Vanguard](#), we reproduce below their comprehensive risk analysis and mitigation measures for our clients.

## Persian Gulf

- On 23 June, US President Trump declared that a “complete and total” 12-hour ceasefire had been agreed between Israel and Iran, effectively ending what he called the ‘12-day war’. Despite early reports of violations, the ceasefire appears to be holding. Israeli PM Benjamin Netanyahu stated that “Israel agreed to the President's proposal for a mutual ceasefire.” Iranian Foreign Minister Abbas Araghchi, while denying any formal agreement, said that “provided that the Israeli regime stops its illegal aggression against the Iranian people no later than 4 am Tehran time, we have no intention to continue our response afterwards.” The Iranian Supreme National Security Council (SNSC) also confirmed that Iran would adhere to the ceasefire, warning against “any provocative military actions.”
- On 25 June, Iran's parliament approved a bill to suspend the country's cooperation with the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). The bill still requires final approval from the Supreme National Security Council before it becomes official policy. Earlier, Iran's Foreign Minister, Abbas Araghchi, stated that uranium enrichment in Iran will continue “with or without an agreement.”
- As of 25 June, the Strait of Hormuz remains open. On 24 June, 130 vessels transited the strait, with 61 transiting eastbound and 69 westbound. This was higher than the average of 111 vessels transiting daily between 17 - 23 June 2025. Separately, vessels continued to report GPS and GNSS interference in the Strait of Hormuz over the past 24 hours.
- As of 25 June 2025, no large-scale hostilities have been reported in the Persian Gulf, and no specific threats have been formally communicated toward maritime vessels and traffic in the region. Military activity in the Persian Gulf has been restricted to GPS / GNSS interference and military drills carried out by the IRGC Navy. Between 17 – 22 June, at least four Iranian officials made statements suggesting a possible militarisation of the SoH and the Iranian parliament voted to close the Strait of Hormuz (SoH); alongside continued growing IRGCN GPS/GNS interference. However, as of 24 June 2025 no large-scale hostilities have been reported in the Persian Gulf and no specific threats have been formally communicated toward maritime vessels and traffic in the region.
- Therefore,  
**as of 25 June**  
, Vanguard assesses:

*The information provided in this article is intended for general information only. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information at the time of publication, no warranty or representation is made regarding its completeness or timeliness. The content in this article does not constitute professional advice, and any reliance on such information is strictly at your own risk. Gard AS, including its affiliated companies, agents and employees, shall not be held liable for any loss, expense, or damage of any kind whatsoever arising from reliance on the information provided, irrespective of whether it is sourced from Gard AS, its shareholders, correspondents, or other contributors.*

LOW		MODERATE	SUBSTANTIAL	HIGH	EXTREME
An incident is unlikely		An incident is possible	An incident is credible	An incident is likely	An incident is highly likely
HIGH		There is a <b>HIGH</b> risk of an IRGCN detention / seizure / UAV attack against any merchant vessels with clear / obvious links / affiliations to Israel. This risk extends to Israeli-flagged vessels, and vessels owned by Israeli businesses/entities			
EXTREME		There is an <b>EXTREME</b> risk to all vessels transiting the region of experiencing the effects of military activity, air defence operations, and possible GNSS interference.			
SUBSTANTIAL		There is a <b>SUBSTANTIAL</b> risk of IRGCN action against US military and naval assets in the region. The risk of collateral extends to vessels in the immediate vicinity of such assets.			
MODERATE		There is a <b>MODERATE</b> risk of an IRGCN detention / seizure / UAV attack against any merchant vessels with clear/obvious links/affiliations to the US. This risk extends to US-flagged vessels, and vessels owned by American businesses/entities.			
SUBSTANTIAL	MODERATE	There is a <b>SUBSTANTIAL</b> risk of IRGCN approaches / harassment and a <b>MODERATE</b> risk of boardings against all vessels. However, such action is highly unlikely to materialise into subsequent seizure or detention for vessels with no links/affiliation to the US/Israel.			
MODERATE		There is a <b>MODERATE</b> risk of Iranian military action or restrictions attempting to compromise freedom of navigation in the Persian Gulf.			

## Israel / Gaza / West Bank

As of 25 June, hostilities have been continuing undisrupted in Gaza, including but not limited to Rafah, Gaza City, Deir al-Balah, Jabalya and the Nuseirat camp. On 25 June, at least 86 Palestinians were killed amid hostilities in the enclave. At least 27 of them were killed while waiting for aid in Rafah, southern Gaza, and another 25 were killed and 140 were injured during an incident on Salah al-Din Road, south of Wadi Gaza.

Israel has ended the state of high alert in the West Bank and lifted most movement restrictions following the ceasefire agreement with Iran. Among the eased measures is the reopening of the Al-Aqsa Mosque compound in East Jerusalem, allowing Palestinian worshippers to enter once again.

## Electronic interference

As highlighted by Vanguard above, UKMTO, JMIC and several other reports by various organizations, there have been numerous reports of electronic interference in and around the Persian Gulf region. According to Intertanko, there have also been reports of fake Automatic Identification System (AIS) echoes in the region. These false signals, particularly when appearing near your vessel's course, could be used by attackers to induce undesirable navigational actions.

Navigators should therefore be prepared to navigate using terrestrial and dead-reckoning methods. This alternative navigation may be necessary for extended periods until satellite signals are restored. The Automatic Identification System (AIS) itself is also likely to be affected by jamming or spoofing attacks. Any AIS data, especially regarding the positions of other vessels, should be used with extreme caution.



For detailed guidance on detecting and mitigating GPS disruption, reference can be made to Intertanko's [Jamming and Spoofing of GNSS](#) publication. Additionally, Gard has published a case study on a grounding incident caused by GPS disruption, [which can be found here](#).

Vanguard recommends the following **mitigation measures** :

- Strict adherence to counter-piracy BMP Maritime Security (MS).
- Affiliation checks as part of the pre-transit Voyage Risk Assessment (VRA).
- Heightened vigilance – AIS, visual and radar adjusted for small craft.
- Registration & maintaining communication with MSCIO and UKMTO to stay informed about potential threats and updates.
- In the event of Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV)/projectile sighting, please ensure crew are briefed and gather in the appropriate Safe Muster Point (SMP); the SMP should be within the superstructure, and above the waterline.
- Be prepared for potential GPS malfunctions. Exercise increased caution during navigation by maintaining enhanced visual lookouts and relying more heavily on radar-based navigation.
- Maintain awareness of any vessels that are not transmitting their AIS – should the crew sight any such cases, please maintain a safe distance from such crafts.

Should the crew sight anything suspicious or unusual, please report to CSO, UKMTO watchkeepers

## Contractual implications

The ongoing conflict between Israel and Iran can lead to charterparty issues, such as if the Master decides to deviate for the safety of the vessel and crew. As to who bears the costs depends heavily on the terms of the specific charterparty, particularly the routing and war risk clauses (for example BIMCO's CONWARTIME 2013/2025 and VOYWAR 2013/2025).

These clauses generally protect shipowners if the vessel "may be exposed" to war risks, potentially allowing deviation from the agreed or customary route, for the safety of the vessel, her crew and her cargo in the Master's reasonable assessment. However, the application of these clauses and the financial responsibility for deviation costs hinge on factors such as whether the risks have materially changed since the charterparty's inception, if a specific route was contractually agreed upon, and the specific wording of any war risk clauses. Whether these clauses could be said to be triggered would have to be assessed on a case by case basis.

*The information provided in this article is intended for general information only. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information at the time of publication, no warranty or representation is made regarding its completeness or correctness. The content in this article does not constitute professional advice, and any reliance on such information is solely at your own risk. Gard AS, including its affiliated companies, agents and employees, shall not be held liable for any loss, expense, or damage of any kind whatsoever arising from reliance on the information provided, irrespective of whether it is sourced from Gard AS, its shareholders, correspondents, or other contributors.*

**For a more detailed discussion on contractual and insurance implications, please refer to Gard article [Red Sea war risks and insurance implications](#).**

*External references*

- [Joint Maritime Information Centre](#) advisories.
- [UKMTO](#)
- [Industry transit advice for Persian Gulf, Strait of Hormuz and Sea of Oman](#)
- [Maritime Global Security](#)
- [Best Management Practices Maritime Security](#)  
(replaces BMP 5)

*We would like to thank [Vanguard-Tech](#) for helping us with this alert.*

*The information provided in this article is intended for general information only. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information at the time of publication, no warranty or representation is made regarding its completeness or timeliness. The content in this article does not constitute professional advice, and any reliance on such information is strictly at your own risk. Gard AS, including its affiliated companies, agents and employees, shall not be held liable for any loss, expense, or damage of any kind whatsoever arising from reliance on the information provided, irrespective of whether it is sourced from Gard AS, its shareholders, correspondents, or other contributors.*