



Are you 95% confident that your very low sulphur fuel is on spec and MARPOL compliant?

Bunker fuel is a commodity and, like all commodities, is produced and sold according to specifications. Bunkers are usually tested by suppliers and purchasers to confirm that they meet the sale specification. Bunkers may also be tested by Flag State or Port State Control authorities to determine if they meet the MARPOL Annex VI sulphur limits.

Published 09 January 2020

Written by Kim Jefferies, Sammy Christopher Smallbone

The information provided in this article is intended for general information only. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information at the time of publication, no warranty or representation is made regarding its completeness or timeliness. The content in this article does not constitute professional advice, and any reliance on such information is strictly at your own risk. Gard AS, including its affiliated companies, agents and employees, shall not be held liable for any loss, expense, or damage of any kind whatsoever arising from reliance on the information provided, irrespective of whether it is sourced from Gard AS, its shareholders, correspondents, or other contributors.

In light of these recent regulatory changes we expect sampling and analysis of very low sulphur fuel oil (VLSFO) to receive greater focus. Given the relative cost of fuel components, we also expect that suppliers will blend fuels to achieve a maximum sulphur content that is close to the statutory maximum. This raises the question of how fuels will be tested by suppliers and purchasers under sale contracts and by authorities to determine MARPOL compliance.

ISO 4259 requires that the supplier must not obtain a test result over the required specification limit value. In contrast, for the recipient a single test result above the specification limit but below the 'limit plus 0.59R' means the specification has been met.

The supplier on the other hand cannot represent the fuel as compliant unless its own test results show **no more** than 0.50% sulphur content. For the bunker producer/supplier, the IMO recommends that the blend target should not be the actual sulphur limit, but rather the limit minus an appropriate safety margin. For the bunker producer/supplier to ensure that the product meets the specification limit with 95% confidence, the blend target should be the limit minus 0.59R. This means for the supplier, the target for VLSFO should be .47%. See [IMO's guidance on best practice for fuel oil suppliers](#) for assuring the quality of fuel oil delivered to ships.

At the MEPC 74 meeting in May 2019, IMO approved amendments to the verification procedures for fuel oil samples drawn in accordance with MARPOL Annex VI. These amendments have not yet entered into force, as they have not been adopted, but to ensure a consistent approach to verifying the sulphur limit, IMO has circulated the amendments for early application by Administrations, see [MEPC.1/Circ.882](#) for details.

The information provided in this article is intended for general information only. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information, the publisher and its agents assume no responsibility for any errors or omissions. The information is provided for general information only and should not be used as a basis for any specific action. The information is provided for general information only and should not be used as a basis for any specific action.

its shareholders, correspondents, or other contributors.

used or carried for use on board that ship". It is intended that these samples will be drawn by Port State Control.

Compared to the existing 'MARPOL delivered sample', the sample of fuel oil accompanying the bunker delivery note (BDN) and used to verify the sulphur content of the fuel oil as *supplied* to a ship, these new samples will be used by Port State Control to verify the sulphur content of the fuel currently in use or to be used by the ship.

In-use and on board samples testing procedure

According to the new verification procedures for 'in-use' and 'on board' samples, the Reproducibility (R) of the test method, in accordance with ISO 4259-2:2017, should be taken into account when assessing the acceptability of a test result. The relevant [MEPC guidelines](#) provide:

"The sample should be deemed to meet the requirements provided the test result from the laboratory does not exceed the specification limit $+0.59R$ (where R is the reproducibility of the test method) and no further testing is necessary."

This means that in-use and on board samples drawn by Port State Control shall be considered acceptable if the sulphur content test result does not exceed 0.53%. Thus, the verification standards for in-use and on board samples should match the analysis method recommended for application under the sale contract.

MARPOL delivered sample testing procedure

The amended Appendix VI contains a different procedure, however, for testing the MARPOL delivered sample. This sample will be tested without taking the Reproducibility (R) of the test method into consideration. This means that there will be no test margin and thus the test result of a MARPOL delivered sample tested (average of two tests by the same laboratory) must not exceed 0.50% m/m sulphur - in other words, a "hard limit". A test result above 0.50% will provide Port State Control evidence of non-compliance on the part of the supplier that the fuel as supplied does not meet the sulphur limit and that the BDN is therefore not accurate.

The regulatory limit is 0.50% so does a test result at 0.53% put owners at risk of non-compliance?

The amendments to Appendix VI of MARPOL Annex VI ensures that a 95% confidence limit is applicable to balance the testing variances associated with the testing of in-use and onboard samples and will thus help ensure that ship operators are not unfairly penalised for marginal exceedances in sulphur content due to factors beyond their control.

However, instead of drawing and testing in-use or on board samples, authorities can also choose to test the MARPOL delivered sample. So, if a bunker producer/supplier have blended a fuel without applying a proper safety to control its margin on the sulphur content, in practice there is a chance that a fuel that meets the ISO 8217 specification and MARPOL Annex VI under the sale contract could still be found to be non-compliant when re-tested. Certainly, the vessel owner may be considered to have acted in good faith and in reliance of the 95% confidence limit as long as the initial test result at the point of sale showed 0.53% sulphur or less. There is, however, no guarantee that authorities will take such an approach as the verification

The information provided in this article is intended for general information only. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information in the form of a fiduciary, 99verconfidant reserves its liability regarding its completeness or timeliness. The content in this article does not constitute professional advice, and any reliance on such information is at the user's own risk. 99verconfidant, including its affiliates, companies, agents and employees, shall not be held liable for any loss or damage, or for any liability arising from or in connection with the information provided, irrespective of whether it is sourced from Gard AS, its shareholders, correspondents, or other contributors.

procedures and enforcement norms have not been tested.

For purchasers including charterers, if a lab analysis shows over 0.53% sulphur content the fuel should be considered to be off-specification under the sale contract and recourse may be available from the supplier. Clearly, careful vetting of suppliers is of increasing importance in this transitional time as it is only the producers and suppliers that have control over the quality of the product they sell.

Additional information on issues to be aware of during PSC sulphur inspections can also be found in our insight [Prepare crews for PSC spot sampling of ships' fuel](#) .

The information provided in this article is intended for general information only. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information at the time of publication, no warranty or representation is made regarding its completeness or timeliness. The content in this article does not constitute professional advice, and any reliance on such information is strictly at your own risk. Gard AS, including its affiliated companies, agents and employees, shall not be held liable for any loss, expense, or damage of any kind whatsoever arising from reliance on the information provided, irrespective of whether it is sourced from Gard AS, its shareholders, correspondents, or other contributors.