

## **Insight Article**

## Hague, Hague–Visby and Hamburg Rules – Package limitation values

In this issue of Gard News we continue our survey of Hague, Hague-Visby and Hamburg Rules (or equivalent) package limitation values in some of the world's most important jurisdictions.

It should be noted, however, that since only countries which have enacted legislation equivalent to the Hague, Hague-Visby or Hamburg Rules are included in the survey, package limitation may equally be available in countries other than the ones listed.

Some African countries have ratified the Hamburg Rules, but their implementation in domestic legislation is still outstanding, so it is uncertain whether local courts will in fact apply the Hamburg Rules to cargo claims.

The information provided in this article is intended for general information only. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information at the time of publication, no warranty or representation is made regarding its completeness or timeliness. The content in the sticked to be toble to be toble to be to be to be to be to be to be t

Country	Hague/Hague-	Date enacted1	Package Limitation
Cameroon	History/Hankblerg (or	1st November 1994	SDR 835 per
Ghana	Pravive Rates	1961	Backage 200 it or
Ivory Coast	(Balls of Rudesing Act	15th June 1992	ED River for CFA
Kenva	Harden Rules4	1st November 1992	<b>Riouseonse</b> ofing
Liberia	Hague-Visbv5	8th July 1982	Bounder of the
Morocco	Haberiang Raletsime	1st November 1992	Sala age/perit or
Nigeria	Haven Rules6	1926	<b>Sockage</b> whitor
Senegal	famiage Aufesods	24th January 1986	SDR 835per
Sierra Leone	Hander Roles	1st November 1992	siges an paip of r
South Africa	Hague-Visby8	4th July 1986	SERBER ESEMPTICATE
Tanzania	(Cambage Rules	1st November 1992	<b>Bergern poe</b> petr
Tunisia	Plandrde Wileso	1st November 1992	<b>Fileson (Sector)</b> , or
	Act)		<b>Standings and the set of the set</b>
			Editor and a second second

We are grateful to Messrs Eltvedt & O'Sullivan, Marseilles, Messrs **Children** the Mombasa, Messrs Shepstone & Wylie, Durban, Messrs Budd, Abid and Western of the Sheringham P&I Services Limited, Ghana and Nigeria for the above information concerning package limitation in African jurisdictions

## Footnotes

1. "Date enacted" will usually indicate the date the Hague/Hague-Visby/Hamburg Rules variant came into effect.

2. The Ghanaian Pound has ceased to be legal tender in Ghana and the courts presently interpret the provision as meaning the value of £100 Sterling at the open market exchange rate.

3. The rate of exchange to be used is that at the time of discharge of the cargo.

4. Kenya is a party to the Hamburg Rules, but the Rules have not yet been implemented into the domestic legislation.Our correspondents have informed us that the Kenyan courts still apply the Hague Rules to claims.

5. Liberia is not a party to the Hague-Visby Rules, but the principles of the Convention have been enacted in Liberian domestic law.

6. Although Nigeria is not a party to the Convention, the principles of the Hague Rules have been incorporated in Nigerian domestic law. However, it is a party to the Hamburg Rules, but the Rules have not yet been incorporated into domestic legislation. Our correspondents inform us that the Hague Rules are still applied in Nigeria.

7. The present exchange rate is Naira 135 to GBP 1 (as at January 1996).

<sup>8.</sup> Although South Africa is not a party to the Convention, the principles of the Hague-Visby Rules have been incorporated into South African domestic law.

9. Although Tunisia is a party to the Hamburg Rules, these Rules are not considered by the courts, which apply Article 147 of the Maritime Commercial Code to claims.The Article provides for a package limitation of TD 400 per package or freight unit.

The information provided in this article is intended for general information only. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information at the time of publication, no warranty or representation is made regarding its completeness or timeliness. The content in this article does not constitute professional advice, and any reliance on such information is strictly at your own risk. Gard AS, including its affiliated companies, agents and employees, shall not be held liable for any loss, expense, or damage of any kind whatsoever arising from reliance on the information provided, irrespective of whether it is sourced from Gard AS, its shareholders, correspondents, or other contributors.